IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE, ANDHRA PRADESH
AT HYDERABAD

(Special Original Jurisdiction)

THURSDAY, THE FOURTEENTH DAY OF OCTOBER
TWO THOUSAND AND FOUR

PRESENT

THE HON'BLE MR JUSTICE C.V.RAMULU

WRIT PETITION NO : 23130 of 2000

Between:

V.Ramam, S/o V.V.Subbarao,

Age about 41Yrs., Working as Junior

Assistant at Training cum Production Center,

Andhra Pradesh Vikalangula Coopertive

Corporation, Guntur.

..... PETITIONER

AND

1. The Andhra Pradesh Vikalangula Cooperative

   Corporation rep., by its Managing Direcotr,

   Ground Floor, BRKR Buildings,

   Near Tank Bund, Hyderabad.

2. The Government of Andhra Pradesh rep., by

   Its Secretary to Government, Women's

   Development and Child Welfare (WHD)

   Department, Secretariat, Hyderabad.

.....RESPONDENT

Petition under Article 226 of the constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the Affidavit filed herein the High Court will be pleased to issue an appropriate writ order or direction more particularly in the nature of writ of Mandamus declaring the action of the 1st respondent in not regularizing the services of the petitioner in the Cadre of Junior Assistant, as arbitrary, illegal, in violation of

Article 14 and 21 of the Constitution of India and to issue a consequential direction to the respondents regularize the services of the petitioner in the Cadre of Junior Assistant w.e.f. the date of initial appointment with all consequential benefits flowing there on forth with and to pass such other order or orders which are deemed fit and proper in the circumstances of the case.

Counsel for the Petitioner: MR.SUBBA RAO KORRAPATI

Counsel for the Respondent No.: MR.K.RAMANUJACHARI

Counsel for the Respondent No.2 G.P. for Women Development and Child Welfare.

The Court made the following :

ORDER:

Both the counsel submit that in similar circumstances this Court disposed of writ petition No. 20152 of 2000 on 30.11.2000, as follows:

> " In my considered opinion, the writ petition is barred by delay and laches. In all financial matters, if a Mandamus is issued from this Court, that would result in a lot of financial indiscipline in a fully owned Government undertaking and the Court cannot be a party to such event. It is, however, open to the petitioner to approach the 2nd and 3d respondents seeking necessary relief even now. As and when such representation is made, the respondents 2 and 3 shall consider the same in accordance with law.
>
> In the result, subject to the above observations, the writ petition is dismissed. No costs."

Learned counsel for the respondents pleads that the similar order may be passed in this writ petition also.

Learned counsel for the petitioner does not dispute the position seriously.

Following the said order, dated 30.11.2000, the writ petition is dismissed. No order as to costs.

_____

14-10-2004

klp

To

1. The Andhra Pradesh Vikalangula Cooperative

   Corporation rep., by its Managing Direcotr,

   Ground Floor, BRKR Buildings,

   Near Tank Bund, Hyderabad.

2. The Government of Andhra Pradesh rep., by

   Its Secretary to Government, Women's

   Development and Child Welfare (WHD)

   Department, Secretariat, Hyderabad.

3. 2 C.Cs to G.P. for Women Development and Child Welfare, High Court buildings, Hyderabad.(OUT).

4. 2CD copies